

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_113266
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative In Vitro Cytotoxicity Evaluation of “Moringa Extract, Clitoria Extract and Cocciniagrandis” by MTT Assay using L-929, Vero, L-132, Raw 264.7 and Balb 3T3 Cell Lines
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is well written and important for both scientific community and general public.</p> <p>Title is better written with out indicating methodology of analysis, so it should be recast, I am suggesting “Comparative <i>In Vitro</i> Cytotoxicity Evaluation of “Moringa Extract, Clitoria Extract and Cocciniagrandis””. The chosen one looks like paragraph, tittle should be brief and to the point</p> <p>the abstract is good and acceptable and should be ‘Italic’</p> <p>the sections are okay, but no need for numbering it should be recaptured as Introduction, materials and method, result and discussion, conclusion and references</p> <p>manuscript is very good in science</p> <p>number of references are acceptable with some recent, Vancouver style should be used according to the journals format, using number system e.g if number one is to be cited in intext then it can be [1], change all the intext citations from APA format to Vancouver. Journal names should be italic. And all the references should be indented. Do not bold your intext citations.</p> <p>Conclusion The conclusion should be brief and straight to the point, your conclusion has no difference from abstract do not include methodology and too much details of the results. Summarize it.</p>	<p>Thank you so much for reviewing my paper and giving me suggestion I will definitely do correction and send revise copy. Additionally, I also highlight the changes.</p> <p>2. Thank you so much sir/ ma’am for suggestion I take it but I want to include cell lines so, if possible, can I write title like “Comparative <i>In Vitro</i> Cytotoxicity Evaluation of Moringa Extract, Clitoria Extract and Cocciniagrandis by MTT assay using various cell lines.</p> <p>3. I write whole abstract in italic form.</p> <p>4. I remove the numbering.</p> <p>6.I change reference style according to your suggestions.</p> <p>7. I write conclusion briefly.</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language is good</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is accepted for publication</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	